

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/588,088	EVANS ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Jordan M. Schwartz	2873	

All Participants:

(1) Jordan M. Schwartz. (3) _____.

(2) Clark Wilson. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 January 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3, 9, 15-16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The changes to claims 3 and 9 were discussed and agreed upon to provide additional clarity to applicant's intended meaning. It was also agreed to cancel non-elected claims 15-16.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jordan M. Schwartz/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)